Concurrent Technologies Corporation

Relationships Between Process Variables and Heat Generation in FSW of Aluminum Alloys

Kevin J. Colligan

TMS Annual Meeting
February 2007

Agenda

- *Motivation for work*
- *Conceptual model formulation*
- *Case studies*
  - Changing welding speed
  - Changing spindle speed
  - Changing anvil conditions
- *Conclusions*

Motivation

- Numerical models and experimental results have shed light on many of the relationships between the process variables in FSW:
  - Reynolds-Khandkar-Seidel, Askari-Silling, Colegrove-Shercliff, Frigaard-Grong, and others – numerical modeling of material flow, stress, temperature, etc.
  - Zettler, Colligan, London, and others – experimental study of material flow
  - Reynolds, Colligan, and others – experimental study of the effects of process variables

Motivation

- A generalized description needed
  - Numerical models and experimental studies too specific, don’t address variation of parameters outside of the specific study
  - No framework for presenting and testing a fundamental description of the FSW process
- Applications
  - Introduction of the process to new researchers and practitioners
  - Explanation of trends observed in practice
  - Prediction of the effect of process changes

Conceptual Model Formulation

- *At a high level, the conceptual model is based on the interaction of physical effects*
- *The present study examines physical effects related to heat generation, heat transfer and material properties*



Conceptual Model with Physical Effects



Conceptual Model with Physical Effects



Conceptual Model with Physical Effects



Conceptual Model with Physical Effects



Conceptual Model with Physical Effects



Conceptual Model with Physical Effects



Conceptual Model with Relationships Between Variables



Case Studies

- Three sets of data used to formulate the model
  - Colligan, et. al. – TMS 2003
  - Xu et. al. – ASM 2003
  - Unpublished work
- Three effects examined
  - Effect of changing travel speed
  - Effect of changing spindle speed
  - Effect of changing anvil conditions

Case Study 1 – Effect of Increasing Travel Speed

- From Colligan, TMS 2003



Increasing travel speed led to increasing torque, increasing power, and decreasing specific energy.

Case Study 1 – Effect of Increasing Travel Speed

- From Xu, ASM 2003

Increasing travel speed led to increasing maximum temperature, and decreasing temperature distribution.



Case Study 1 – Effect of Increasing Travel Speed

- Unpublished, Colligan

Increasing travel speed led to a gradual increase in spindle torque and weld power.



Case Study 1 – Effect of Increasing Travel Speed



Case Study 2 – Effect of Increasing Spindle Speed

- From Colligan, TMS 2003

Increasing spindle speed led to decreasing torque, and increasing-then-constant power and specific energy.



25.4-mm 5083-H131
Travel Speed = 2.12 mm/s
Travel per Flat per Rev = 0.13 mm/(flat-rev)
2, 3, and 4 flats

Case Study 2 – Effect of Increasing Spindle Speed

- Unpublished, Colligan

Increasing spindle speed led to immediate decrease in torque, and limited increase in power.



Case Study 2 – Effect of Increasing Spindle Speed



Case Study 3 – Effect of Anvil Conditions

- From Xu, ASM 2003

Adding an insulating layer of mica to the anvil decreased the peak temperature but increased the temperature distribution.



Case Study 3 – Effect of Adding Anvil Insulation



Conclusions

- Conceptual model proposed relates key process and material variables through the interaction of physical effects
- The model was confirmed using data from three case studies
- Potential future work –
  - examination of other case studies (other materials?),
  - assignment of weights to interactions,
  - development of similar model addressing forces.